Peer rejection negatively affects subsequent academic motivation regardless of gender context of the rejection

NSTITUTE OF PSYCH,

ADEM

Lenka Kollerová, Institute of Psychology, Czech Academy of Sciences, Prague, Czech Republic,

Tracy M. Sweet, Department of Human Development and Quantitative Methodology, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, USA

Jung-Jung Lee, Department of Human Development and Quantitative Methodology, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, USA

Lisa Bardach, University of Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany

Melanie Killen, Department of Human Development and Quantitative Methodology, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, USA,

26th Workshop on Aggression, November 10-12, 2022, Jena http://woa.uni-jena.de/

Peer rejection and school adjustment

Negative effects of peer rejection

Being rejected by classmates associates with low school achievement and higher classroom disengagement and school absenteeism (e.g., Lessard & Juvonen, 2020; Lorijn et al., 2021).

Early adolescence as a sensitive period

This developmental period is characterized by growing importance of peer relations and heightened school adjustment problems (Lessard & Juvonen, 2020).

Research gap

Little is known about effects on emotional and motivational school adjustment, including **academic motivation**, or **liking school** or **feeling safe** at school (e.g., Guo et al., 2015; Wigfield et al., 2006).

Gender of the rejected student

Number of studies documented differences in maladjustment experienced by rejected or excluded boys and girls (for a review see McDougall et al., 2001) in areas, such as:

- self-esteem (Lopez & DuBois, 2005; Rudolph & Conley, 2005)
- sadness and worry (Goodman & Southam-Gerow, 2010)
- negative feelings (Grills & Ollendick, 2002)

Perceptions of teachers

Teachers express higher concerns about its negative impact for girl targets than boy targets (Kollerová & Killen, 2021).

Theories about same vs. cross-gender contexts

The gender segregation model

The model suggests that children strive primarily for peer acceptance by their same-gender as from early age they show normative in-group bias in preferring to interact with same-gender peers (Maccoby, 2002).

This model implies that same-gender peer rejection is more harmful for the rejected student.

The intergroup developmental model

This model states that cross-gender peer rejection and its behavioral component of peer exclusion often stem from prejudice and bias. Bias-based peer harassment was found to have more severe negative consequences for adjustment of the students targeted by the harassment (Killen et al., 2013).

This model implies that cross-gender peer rejection is more harmful for the rejected students.

Hypotheses and a research question

Effects of peer rejection on subsequent school adjustment

H1: Peer rejection at Time 1 would have unique negative effects on school adjustment variables (academic motivation, school attachment, and feelings of safety) at Time 2.

Moderating role of gender of the rejected students

H2: Gender of the rejected student would interact with peer rejection at Time 1 such as that the association between peer rejection and school adjustment will be stronger for girls than boys.

Differential effects of same-vs. cross-gender peer rejection

Research question: Will there be a difference in size of the effects of same- and cross-gender rejection?

Participants

Early adolescents (N = 751) of 7th graders retrieved from 39 classrooms of 20 randomly selected elementary schools in Prague.

Gender

50.6% female

Age

The average age of the participants was 12.9 years (*SD* = 5 month; range: 11-15).

Participation rate 77% at Time 1 and 73% at Time 2

Assessment

Data were collected by trained administrators using paper-pencil procedure in classrooms.



Instruments: School adjustment

Scales retrieved from the Social and Health Assessment survey (SAHA; Ruchkin et al., 2004) that was validated for Czech adolescents (Blatny et al., 2006).

academic motivation (6 items)

E.g., I try hard at school.

The McDonald's ω coefficient was .63 for Time 1 and .69 for Time 2.

school attachment (4 items)

E.g., Most mornings I look forward to going to school.

The McDonald's ω coefficients was .83 for Time 1 and .83 for Time 2

feelings of safety at school (7 items)

E.g., I feel safe at my school.

The McDonald's ω coefficients was .80 for Time 1 and .84 for Time 2.

Instruments: Peer rejection

Nominations received from classmates in response to a question *With whom do you least like talking during breaks?* (adapted from Kollerová a Smolík., 2016).

There related but distinct indicators were computed:

peer rejection

- based on nominations from all classmates

same-gender peer rejection

- based on nominations from same-gender peers

cross-gender peer rejection

- based on nominations from other-gender peers

For all peer nomination indicators the number of peer nominations received was divided by the number of nominators.

Descriptives and correlations

	Time 1							Time 2											
	Mean	(SD)	Range	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.		Mean	n (SD)	Range	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
Study variables																			
1. Academic motivation	17.20	(2.88)	6–24	-							16.82	(3.13)	6–24	-					
2. School attachment	9.48	(2.82)	4–16	.42	-						9.05	(2.80)	4–16	.47	-				
3. Feelings of safety	21.94	(3.82)	7–28	.21	.29	-					22.02	(3.90)	7–28	.28	.18	-			
4. Peer rejection	.12	(.14)	083	14	19	19					.13	(.14)	090	16	20	20)-		
5. Same-gender peer rejection	.11	(.14)	086	11	20	21	81	-			.12	(.16)	088	13	17	17	.82	-	
6. Cross-gender peer rejection	.14	(.20)	0-1	11	11	11	.80	.40	-		.18	(.34)	0-3	08	13	15	63	.69	-

Note. N = 751; All correlation coefficients were statistically significant at $\alpha = .05$.

Main analyses

Separate random intercept multilevel models with students and classrooms as levels.

Main effects model:

 $Y_{t=2} = \beta_{0j} + \beta_1 Rejection_{t=1} + \beta_2 Gender + \beta_3 Y_{t=1} + \epsilon$

Interactive effects model:

 $Y_{t=2} = \beta_{0j} + \beta_1 Rejection_{t=1} + \beta_2 Gender + \beta_3 Rejection_{t=1} Gender + \beta_4 Y_{t=1} + \epsilon$

Peer rejection

	Main effects models		Interactive effect model		
	Academic motivation at T2	School attachment at T2	Feelings of safety at T2		Academic motivation at T2
Female	0.41(0.21)	0.12(0.18)	-0.19(0.28)		0.49 (0.28)
School adjustment at T1	0.60 (0.04)*	0.67(0.03)*	0.57(0.04)*		0.60 (0.04)*
Peer rejection at T1 (-3.30(0.81)*	-1.10(0.67)	-2.06(1.10)	\langle	-2.98 (1.08)*
Peer rejection at					-0.67 (1.52)
T1*female					

Note. * p < 0.05. T1 = Time 1, T2 = Time 2. School adjustment at T1 = Corresponding school adjustment indicator (i.e. academic motivation or school attachment or feelings of safety) at Time 1.

Same-vs. cross-gender peer rejection

	T2 academic motivation	T2 school attachment	T2 feelings of safety						
Models for same-gender peer rejection									
Female	0.44 (0.21)*	0.13 (0.18)	-0.16 (0.28)						
School adjustment at T1	0.61 (0.04)*	0.67 (0.03)*	0.58 (0.04)*						
Same-gender peer	-2.32 (0.75)*	-0.97 (0.62)	-0.99 (1.02)						
rejection at T1									
Models for cross-gender pee	r rejection								
Female	0.46 (0.21)*	0.15 (0.18)	-0.16 (0.28)						
School adjustment at T1	0.61 (0.04)*	0.68 (0.03)*	0.58 (0.04)*						
Cross-gender peer	-1.87 (0.55)*	-0.22 (0.45)	-1.34 (0.72)						
rejection at T1									

No significant difference between the effects of sameand cross-gender peer rejection on school motivation was found.

Note. * p < 0.05. T1 = Time 1, T2 = Time 2. School adjustment at T1 = Corresponding school adjustment indicator (i.e. academic motivation or school attachment or feelings of safety) at Time 1.

Summary of the findings

In early adolescents,

- (1) school attachment and feelings of safety at school were negatively associated with peer rejection, but only concurrently, not over time,
- (2) academic motivation was negatively associated with peer-nominated peer rejection both concurrently and over a six-month period,
- (3) and the longitudinal negative effects of peer rejection on academic motivation was **the same across varying gender contexts.**

Implications

Future research

- addressing the moderating role of classroom norms (Lessard & Juvonen, 2022)
- including also subjective perceptions of belonging that could provide complementary information and unique contributions to the adjustment of rejected students (O'Neel & Fuligni, 2013).

Teacher education

- challenging teacher gender biases, namely underestimating of the harmfulness of peer adversities in some gender contexts (Kochenderfer-Ladd & Pelletier; Kollerová & Killen, 2021)
- informing teachers about harmfulness of peer rejection across various gender contexts

Acknowledgements

This output was supported by the NPO "Systemic Risk Institute" number LX22NPO5101, funded by European Union - Next Generation EU (Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports, NPO: EXCELES) and by the Czech Science Foundation under Grant Number 15-00682S.

References

- Danneel, S., Colpin, H., Goossens, L., Engels, M., Leeuwen, K. V., Noortgate, W. V. D., & Verschueren, K. (2019). Emotional school engagement and global self-esteem in adolescents: Genetic susceptibility to peer acceptance and rejection. *Merrill-Palmer Quarterly, 65*(2), 158–182. <u>https://doi.org/10.13110/merrpalmquar1982.65.2.0158</u>
- Guo, J., Parker, P. D., Marsh, H. W., & Morin, A. J. S. (2015). Achievement, motivation, and educational choices: A longitudinal study of expectancy and value using a multiplicative perspective. *Developmental Psychology*, *51*(8), 1163–1176.
- Killen, M., Mulvey, K. L., & Hitti, A. (2013). Social exclusion in childhood: A developmental intergroup perspective. *Child Development, 84*(3), 772–790. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.12012</u>
- Kochenderfer-Ladd, B., & Pelletier, M. E. (2008). Teachers' views and beliefs about bullying: Influences on classroom management strategies and students' coping with peer victimization. *Journal of School Psychology*, *46*, 431–453. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsp.2007.07.005</u>
- Kollerová, L., & Killen, M. (2021). An experimental study of teachers' evaluations regarding peer exclusion in the classroom. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, *91*(1), 463–481. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/bjep.12373</u>
- Kollerová, Lenka, & Smolík, F. (2016). Victimization and its associations with peer rejection and fear of victimization: Moderating effects of individual-level and classroom-level characteristics. *British Journal of Educational Psychology, 86*(4), 640–656. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjep.12129
- Lessard, L. M., & Juvonen, J. (2022). Engagement norms buffer academic risks associated with peer rejection in middle school. *International Journal of Behavioral Development*, *46*(3), 200–207. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/0165025420915779</u>
- Maccoby, E. E. (2002). Gender and group process. Current Directions in Psychological Science, 11(1995), 54–58.
- O'Neel, C. G. O., & Fuligni, A. (2013). A longitudinal study of school belonging and academic motivation across high school. *Child Development*, *84*(2), 678–692. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.2012.01862.x</u>
- Wigfield, A., Eccles, J. S., Schiefele, U., Roeser, R., & Davis-Kean, P. (2006). Development of achievement motivation. In W. Damon & R. L. Lerner (Series Eds.) & N. Eisenberg (Vol. Ed.), *Handbook of child psychology:* Vol. 3. Social, emotional, personality development (6th ed. pp. 933–1002). New York, NY: Wiley



Thank you for your attention!

kollerova@praha.psu.cas.cz